



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/553,152

08/04/2006

James Peter Burnie

87278.2760

8989

30734 7590 09/03/2009  
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP  
WASHINGTON SQUARE, SUITE 1100  
1050 CONNECTICUT AVE. N.W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-5304

EXAMINER

SWARTZ, RODNEY P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1645

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/03/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@bakerlaw.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/553,152	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BURNIE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D.	<b>Art Unit</b> 1645	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D., Primary Examiner. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Michael Graham, Reg. No. 51,750. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 24 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: claim 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☒ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner left a voice message explaining procedures concerning applicants' reply to Notice of Non-compliant Amendment for improperly amended claim 21. If a comma is to be inserted, then the claim is to be designated as "currently amended" and the comma properly marked. If the previously entered form of claim 21, received 22August2008, is to be presented then no comma should be added and the claim listed as "previously presented".

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1645	
--	--